


IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

United States of America)	C/R 3:25-606
)	
vs.)	
)	
Nasir Ullah; FNU Naimullah)	ORDER ON
Defendants.)	PROSECUTORIAL OBLIGATION
)	
)	

Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 5(f) and the Due Process Protections Act, Public Law No. 116-182, 134 Stat. 894 (2020), the Court confirms the United States' obligation to produce all exculpatory evidence to the defendant pursuant to *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83 (1963), and its progeny, and orders it to do so.¹ Counsel is reminded of the possible consequences of violating the *Brady* rule and/or this Order, as set forth in applicable law, including, but not limited to, exclusion of evidence, adverse jury instructions, dismissal of charges, contempt proceedings, and/or sanctions by the Court. The Clerk of Court is directed to provide a copy of this Order to the prosecution and defense counsel.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

April 23, 2025
Columbia, South Carolina



Shiva V. Hodges
United States Magistrate Judge

¹ See generally *Long v. Hooks*, 972 F.3d 442, 456 (4th Cir. 2020) (en banc) (“*Brady v. Maryland* instructs that ‘the suppression by the prosecution of evidence favorable to an accused’ violates due process where the evidence is ‘material either to guilt or to punishment, irrespective of the good faith or bad faith of the prosecution.’” (quoting *Brady*, 373 U.S. at 87)).